

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/588,712 | 08/08/2006 | Wolfgang Fischer | W1.2278 PCT-US | 1992 | |
| Douglas R Har | 7590 11/09/200 nscom | EXAMINER | | | |
| Jones Tullar & | | KRUER, STEFAN | | | |
| Eads Station PO Box 2266 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Arlington, VA | 22202 | | 3654 | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/09/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/588,712 | FISCHER ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Stefan Kruer | 3654 | |

| | Examiner | AILOIIL | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Stefan Kruer | 3654 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one or the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A on event, however, will the statutory priorid for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706 07(| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period re- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply re-oeted by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | lension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| ⊠ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ⊠ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) □ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloic) (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better. | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) \[\text{They present additional claims without canceling a c} NOTE: \[The amendments to Claims 27, 40 - 44 ar condition for allowance in view of the prior art of re printing unit in said web processing machine; as strength"\[\text{in view of the broadness of a term "m Furthermore, amendments to Claims 40 - 42, 44 ar 41.33(a)\]. | nd 51, notably Claims 27 and 43, do cord, as provided in applicant's IDS s well as" second motor strength otor strength", the prior art of record | not place the claims i, with respect to the r greater than said firs d and applicant's disc | ecitation of "a t motor losure. |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | , | , |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. \(\bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \(\bigcirc \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov \) The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: \(Claim(s) allowed to: \) Claim(s) objected to: \(Claim(s) ejected: \) 27. \(\frac{29}{36}, \frac{38}{36} \) 45 \(\text{and } \frac{49}{36} \) 52. \(Claim(s) \) withdrawn from consideration: | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing- entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) | /John Q. Nguyen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3654

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20091105